



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,191	09/26/2001		Isao Kakuhari	29288.2700	1298	
20322	7590	05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
SNELL & V ONE ARIZO		₹R	SELLERS, DANIEL R			
400 EAST V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHOENIX,	AZ 85004-	2615				

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/964,191	KAKUHARI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel R. Sellers	2615	

	Lamino	Alt Ollit					
	Daniel R. Sellers	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains. 			because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(I TOL-524).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. $igstyle igstyle$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19-23</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10.	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See continuation sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.							

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 2-3, filed April 19, 2006, with respect to a 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 3 has been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejections of claims 1-17 and the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claim 18 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, Kitamura teaches a correction section (Col. 6, line 64 – Col. 7, line 11). The correction section, or the parametric filter block (70), corrects the frequency content of the audio signal, wherein the equalization template used in the filter block is chosen to correct audio signals categorized by genre or type and for personal preference (Col. 2, lines 1-11 and Col. 3, lines 8-11). Kitamura also teaches that the acoustic signal matches the image signal, or that the video and audio or synchronized (Col. 3, lines 49-64, Col. 4, lines 13-21, and Fig. 1, units 14, 20, 22, 24, and 32). Kitamura teaches conventional DVD decoding devices, wherein synchronization information is used so that the acoustic signal matches the image signal, i.e. the dialogue matches the video.

Regarding claims 2-17, see the above argument. Claims 2-17 stand rejected by Kitamura under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Regarding claim 18, see the preceding argument with respect to claim 1. Claim 18 stands rejected by the combination of Kitamura and Saito under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

DRS 4/28/06

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER